


# Are YOU Smarter than Einstein? I Gather I Must Be.

Ralph Malcolm Rabbidge

We have an observer with two identical clocks separated by a rod.  
(this represents the moving frame used in Einstein's RoS derivation)



*Pulses of light are moving in both directions across the rod.*

It is known without doubt, that the readings of both C1 and C2 increase by 'x' during Pr's transit of L and by 'y' during P1's transit, where the pure numbers x and y are different (as Einstein correctly calculated).

However, that devious gentleman reckoned this could not be right because it meant that the travel times of the pulses were different in the two directions....and that was not permitted by his P2.

So he cheated. He reasoned that if O tried to measure the transit times directly, he would have to use the two clocks in series and could get any answer he wanted simply by adjusting the synch relationship between them.

Thus, he added a little to the reading of one of them so that the travel times in both directions appeared to both equal  $L/c$ . Consequently, the clocks were defined as being 'in synch' in their frame and his whole new but nonsensical theory of relativity followed.

Now, anyone smarter than Einstein would immediately see the dishonesty and error in this move. It is obvious that correct synchronization would be achieved only if and when the MEASURED pulse travel times agreed with the KNOWN ones, x and y, (since all are expressed in the same clock calibration). Those two time intervals could not be made numerically the same simply by fiddling the clocks.

I expect this disclosure will put an end to argument here, for all time.  
Einstein and his whole theory are completely wrong.

Note, other examples of Einsteinian logic are:  $n \neq n$ ,  $4 = 6$  and 'numbers which are different can be considered identical if god demands it'.